

Preventing Maintenance Errors in Aviation: Strategies for Safety Improvement

Evelyn May E Pasigue, MPA

NATIONAL AVIATION ACADEMY OF THE PHILIPPINES

(formerly Philippine State College of Aeronautics)

Instructor I

sportsphilsca18@gmail.com



She is tested by time and shaped by her learning, developed in stillness, and inspired to seek truth through education.

Through knowledge, she awakens to her unique voice and purpose: to serve her country through her vocation.

She is present with her students—her watchful eyes see them in classrooms shaped by hope and judgment—and this is where her heart is wounded. The fatigue that burdens her spirit is hidden behind her patience and determination.

Through the grace of understanding one another in community, she rises from adversity each day with quiet strength. She has been filled with disciplined passion, living

her life with a sense of purpose, meaning, and responsibility.

Courage and conviction guide her to be a teacher, learner, and leader of integrity in her dealings with others.

Introduction

Errors made by aviation technicians during aircraft maintenance and repair can have serious safety impacts. The types of errors that can occur during aviation maintenance include those during routine inspections and during complex repairs. The issue of making mistakes when maintaining aircraft is critical to maintaining aviation safety and continuing to provide aircraft reliability and safe operations. Mistakes made during aircraft maintenance have resulted in many incidents involving loss of life and injury, as well as costly financial impacts on the airline industry.

Even though aviation maintenance requires a high degree of accuracy and attention to detail, a small lapse in judgment can be catastrophic. There are numerous historical case studies that demonstrate the relationship between human error during aviation maintenance and aviation accidents. Most human errors are attributed to inadequate training, failure to follow procedures, and poor communication among maintenance personnel. Understanding how these factors contribute to maintenance errors is important for grasping the broader implications for aviation safety (Jiang et al., 2022).

By investigating maintenance errors and their history, we can recognize how historical incidents in aviation maintenance have influenced the establishment of aviation maintenance regulations and practices. Identifying historical trends in aviation maintenance errors will help us focus on similar issues, develop strategies to prevent similar occurrences, and increase overall safety in the aviation industry (Jiang et al., 2022). It is imperative to address human factors in aircraft hangar maintenance, as these issues can greatly increase human error and many of the safety incidents that occur in aviation (Olaganathan, 2024).

Historical Context of Maintenance Errors

History has shown that maintenance incidents throughout aviation have highlighted the need for improved practices and safety. Many maintenance incidents, including the 1985 Boeing 747 crash, which was directly attributable to a maintenance error, serve as reminders of the serious consequences that may result from negligence in this area. Such occurrences have led to a re-evaluation of maintenance procedures and an understanding of human error as a symptom of a much larger systemic issue (e.g., the organization), rather than just an individual failure.

These failures have led to a change in maintenance approach. By addressing human factors, airlines can develop improved training and operational strategies to reduce the risk of errors related to aircraft maintenance (Olaganathan, 2024). In addition, recent developments in drone and robotic

technologies are changing how aircraft are inspected, providing greater support for aviation safety.

Role of Human Factors Related to Maintenance Error

In the aviation industry, human factors account for a large percentage of maintenance errors due to interactions between maintenance personnel and their assigned tasks and to various external pressures. One of the commonly encountered human factor issues is cognitive overload; this is defined as when maintenance personnel become overwhelmed by the amount of information and/or the number of tasks they are attempting to accomplish simultaneously, negatively impacting their ability to make sound decisions about those tasks. An example of how cognitive overload can lead to mistakes by maintenance personnel is that, during complex aircraft repairs, the volume of information may overwhelm even the most qualified maintenance personnel, increasing the risk of errors.

An important consideration for reducing the human factor is developing and implementing an effective training program for maintenance personnel. Effective training should not only develop maintenance personnel's technical skills but also provide opportunities to develop and refine their situational awareness so they can better manage their cognitive load. Practical training in simulated environments can help maintenance personnel gain experience managing stress-related scenarios without the consequences of making mistakes in real-world environments, reducing the likelihood of errors during actual maintenance tasks. Additionally, continuous training allows maintenance personnel to develop and maintain their skills and stay current with technological and procedural trends and developments.

As the aviation industry continues to adopt and implement advanced technologies, understanding how to manage the human factors in aircraft maintenance is vital. Technological advances can complement maintenance personnel training by providing tools to simplify complex tasks, thereby reducing the potential for cognitive overload during maintenance. Through technology integration, a bridge can be formed between human performance



and technical requirements, thereby enabling safer, more efficient aviation maintenance. As such, an exploration of these advanced technologies is key to enhancing the safety and efficiency of aviation operations.

Technological Solutions for The Prevention of Errors

The use of technology in aviation maintenance has been increasing to reduce errors and improve safety. Automation plays a large role in this process, as it reduces reliance on manual methods for tasks prone to inaccuracies. Tracking systems for aircraft maintenance and parts inventory are automated, enabling technicians to have immediate access to the correct information to perform their jobs and thus decreasing the likelihood of oversight.

Another way technology will help reduce errors is through advanced diagnostic tools that provide real-time analysis of the aircraft's systems and detect potential malfunctions before they become significant problems. For instance, predictive maintenance programs utilize numerous data analytics to anticipate a piece of equipment's "failure" due to a malfunction. This will allow technicians to proactively address any possible issues rather than reactively. In addition to improving safety, this will streamline aviation maintenance operations by allowing technicians to focus their efforts where they are needed most.

Furthermore, software tools can facilitate the standardization of aviation maintenance procedures, providing technicians with specific steps to follow and checklists to review. These types of tools make it possible to reduce errors caused by lapses in human memory and inconsistent application of maintenance procedures. The ease of use of these tools enables aviation technicians to carry out their job duties accurately and in a timely manner (Munari et al., 2023).

With the use and implementation of these technologies, aviation maintenance can take steps toward a more systematic approach to preventing errors. Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), when implemented correctly, will ensure safe, efficient methods for maintaining

and repairing aircraft in the aviation maintenance industry. Essentially, SOPs are written documents that define the processes for performing aircraft maintenance and provide Aircraft Maintenance Technicians (AMTs) with step-by-step instructions for completing those tasks. The establishment of a uniform standard via SOPs for all AMTs has improved aviation maintenance safety by establishing a single process for aircraft maintenance.

The development and implementation of SOPs will require collaboration between multiple stakeholders, including maintenance staff, safety professionals, regulatory agencies, and other parties responsible for establishing the regulations governing aviation and aircraft operations. Collaboration among these stakeholders will enable the development of SOPs that are practical, logically applied, and, most importantly, safe to use. Once SOPs have been developed, it is important for an aviation maintenance organization to revise them routinely to ensure they continue to accurately reflect the current state of the aviation maintenance industry and the regulations set by regulatory agencies. Routine revisiting of SOPs will enable the ongoing provision of current, relevant, and effective maintenance and repair services for aircraft in compliance with aviation regulations.

Although SOPs provide the foundation for safe maintenance practices for employees, it is important to develop a strong safety culture in the aviation maintenance industry to ensure SOPs retain their value and usefulness to the organization. Through the development of an aviation safety culture that promotes open communication about aviation safety, employees will become increasingly familiar with and comfortable employing SOPs. Additionally, establishing an aviation safety culture will provide employees with the confidence necessary to report any safety hazards to safety personnel. The link between Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and safety culture is important, given that ongoing training and open communication with aviation maintenance personnel continue to improve safety and reliability in the aviation industry (Naeem, 2018).

Safety Culture

Safety Culture is defined as a "set of values, beliefs, and attitudes that promote safe behaviors during all day-to-day maintenance activities." A strong safety culture positively impacts how maintenance staff perform their work, ultimately improving aviation maintenance best practices. When maintenance personnel can report potential issues or mistakes to their management without fear of retribution, it fosters an atmosphere in which issues can be resolved before they become problems. Therefore, promoting an atmosphere of open communication will reduce maintenance mistakes by aviation maintenance technicians, as they adhere more closely to safety and communication protocols.

A positive safety culture can be developed through various means. One way an organization can develop a positive safety culture is by providing consistent safety-related training to all maintenance personnel, so they understand how their specific jobs contribute to overall safety standards. Another way to enhance team cohesiveness is to foster open lines of communication among staff. Lastly, leadership must demonstrate its commitment to safety by incorporating it into everyday decision-making and support systems (Silcox et al., 2022).

In addition to enhancing their organization's safety culture through the previous methods, organizations must also account for the regulatory framework governing aviation maintenance, as well as any authoritative compliance requirements related to it. Aviation regulations are intended to ensure the safety of both passengers and crew members, while providing standards for maintenance practitioners; therefore by understanding and complying with regulatory requirements provide aviation maintenance teams with tools needed to establish a successful safety culture and at the same time meet regulatory authority and industry standards that require maintaining aviation safety through the operations of civil aviation aircraft – and to provide safe and reliable operations as such (Silcox et al., 2022).

The Regulatory Framework and Compliance

Aviation regulations provide the framework for regulating every aspect of an aircraft flight, including maintenance procedures. These regulations are implemented to promote safe flight operations, clear the way for others within the aviation industry, and provide maintenance teams with the guidelines necessary to maintain aviation safety.

Conclusion

The article allows us to conclude that aviation maintenance is extremely complex and encompasses numerous areas of concern, including human factors, technology, compliance, and safety culture. The two most frequently referenced means of reducing errors in maintenance and increasing aviation safety are: standard operating procedures, ongoing training with updated procedures, and the use of technology to streamline maintenance.

There are many obstacles to the future of aviation maintenance, including integrating new technology and ensuring technicians' compliance with new regulations and complex systems. To be successful in transitioning through these challenges, it is critical that the personnel involved in executing these changes receive adequate training to handle them. There is great potential for new technologies (AI, advanced diagnostics) to make aviation safer, but their use must be handled with caution to avoid new types of errors associated with these technologies.

All parties involved in aviation maintenance should work together to ensure safety remains the top priority. Working together will lead to the sharing of best practices, the development of effective training programs, and a robust safety culture. The aviation industry can work toward substantially reducing aviation maintenance errors by prioritizing these areas, improving overall aviation safety, and ensuring continued safety in air travel.



References

- Ainakulov, Z., Pirmanov, I., Koshekov, K., Astapenko, N., Fedorov, I., Zuev, D., & Kurmankulova, G. (2022). Risk Assessment of the Operation of Aviation Maintenance Personnel Trained on Virtual Reality Simulators. In *Transport and Telecommunication Journal* (Vols. 23, pp. 320-333). <https://doi.org/10.2478/ttj-2022-0026>.
- Jiang, T., Lu, C.-tsung, Fu, H., Palmer, N., & Peng, J. (2022). An Inductive Approach to Identify Aviation Maintenance Human Errors and Risk Controls. In *Collegiate Aviation Review International*. <https://doi.org/10.22488/okstate.22.100207>.
- Kazemi, H. (2022). Aviation Safety International Standards in the Framework of National Air Law. In the *International Journal of Reliability, Risk and Safety: Theory and Application*. <https://doi.org/10.30699/ijrrs.5.1.8>.
- Munari, E., Scarpa, A., Cima, L., Pozzi, M., Pagni, F., Vasuri, F., Marletta, S., Tos, A. D. D., & Eccher, A. (2023). Cutting-edge technology and automation in the pathology laboratory. In *Virchows Archiv* (484) 555–566. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-023-03637-z>
- Naeem, S. (2018). Comparison of Various Safety Management Systems and Perceptions of Safety Management and Safety Culture in the Pakistani Aviation Industry. In *Journal of Entrepreneurship & Organization Management* (7) 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2169-026X.1000237>.
- Olaganathan, R. (2024). Human factors in aviation maintenance: understanding errors, management, and technological trends. In the *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/gjeta.2024.18.2.0021>.
- Silcox, F., Ley, S., & Sutliff, D. (2022). Organizational Culture Impact on Safety Within a Collegiate-Level Flight Training Program. In *College Aviation Review International*. <https://doi.org/10.22488/okstate.22.100265>.
- Yadav, D., & Herbert, J. (2022). Unintentional non-compliance or sheer ignorance of aircraft safety regulations: a critical analysis of a safety occurrence in Australian general aviation. In the *International Journal of Reliability and Safety*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijrs.2022.10046666>.